JULIA NORMANSKAJA (Moscow)

THE SYSTEM OF VARIABLE STRESS IN NORTHERN MANSI DIALECTS AND ITS EXTERNAL CORRESPONDENCES*

Abstract. In the present article, which continues the cycle of articles dedicated to the description of variable stress in Ob-Ugric languages, we direct our attention to the variable stress in Mansi dialects. The article describes the rules of stress placement for verbs of different types in the Ob dialect of the Mansi language on the basis of the field material collected from two villages of Oktjabŕskoje district of Khanty-Mansi administrative region (village Nižnie Narynkary and village Peregrjobnoje) in 2013 by I. A. Stenin, and of Sosva dialect collected in village Khulimsunt by R. I. Idrisov in 2016. A hypothesis about the correlation between stress placement in verbs in Ob (field data) and Tavda (B. Munkácsi's data) dialects of the Mansi language was tested. We give the verbal accent paradigms for two northern (Ob and Sosva) and one eastern (Jukonda) Mansi dialect, revealed as a result of the analysis in the phonetic program Praat (http:// www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/) of the field materials of the northern and eastern Mansi dialects. Then these materials will be compared with Tavda (B. Munkácsi's data) dialect of the Mansi language. The comparison shows that in all of the Mansi dialects analysed three accentual paradigms were revealed: in the first, the stress is fixed on the first syllable, in the second it is fixed, but not on the first syllable, while in the third one in part of the forms on the first syllable, and in the others on the second syllable. Summing up the analysis, we can say that the material of the Ob dialect and of the Sosva dialect spoken in the village of Khulimsunt confirms the hypothesis of the previous articles (Норманская 2015a; 2015b) about the reconstruction of four accent paradigms for verbs in the Proto-Mansi language.

Keywords: Mansi, Khanty, stress, verb.

1. In 2011, while working in the National Library of Finland in Helsinki with the archives of M. A. Castrén, we found that he had recorded vari-

^{*} Obtaining the linguistic data was financially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation (Grant No. 14.Y26.31.0014.) as part of the research project "Linguistic and ethnocultural diversity of South Siberia in synchrony and diachrony: the interaction of languages and cultures". The analysis of Ob-Mansi data was provided with financial support from the Russian Science Foundation (Grant No. 20-18-00403) within the project "Digital description of the dialects of the Uralic languages based on the analysis of big data". The analysis of East, South and West Ob-Mansi dialects was provided with financial support by RFBR Grant No.18-012-00119 within the project "Interactive Atlas of the Uralic languages".

able stress in the South Khanty Irtyš dialects. This is very valuable data, since these South Khanty dialects do not exist anymore.

Castrén's word list presents about two hundred words, mostly nonderived nouns and verbs in the Pr1Sg or Pt1Sg and infinitive. For all infinitives the stress falls on the first syllable, while in oblique cases and in the Pr1Sg or Pt1Sg forms of verbs the stress can fall either on the first or on the second syllable. We could not detect any correlation between vowel quality and stress placement. These data lead us to suppose that non-derived words had a paradigmatic phonological stress in South Khanty.

V. A. Dybo gives the following definition of the paradigmatic stress systems: "Paradigmatic stress systems are characterized by two or more types of behavior of stress within a word, which are named "stress types" or "stress paradigms" and amongst which are distributed all the words of a given language, as follows: In the corpus of non-derived stems the choice of a stress type for a word cannot be predicted from any information held within the form or meaning of that word, but is peculiar to the word by tradition. In the corpus of derived stems the choice of stress types is defined by the stress type of productives (usually with a correction for the word-formative type)" (Dybo 2000 : 10).

Since then, supported by RFBR, Presidential, and RFH grants, our group has been conducting research aimed at finding stress systems with variable stress in Ob-Ugric languages. We have organized a number of expeditions into remote regions of Western Siberia. With the help of local administration we determined the places where the Khanty or Mansi still speak their native language. We have conducted two expeditions to the speakers of the Nizjam dialect, who presently live on the Nazym river, and the expedition leader S. V. Onina herself was polled as a speaker of Šuryškar dialect. The following expeditions have taken us to the speakers of Kazym, Middle Ob (northern), and Salym (eastern) dialects of Khanty and Jukonda (eastern) dialect and to the speakers of Ob (northern) dialect of Mansi. Besides that, the group headed by A. I. Kuznetsova has kindly given us access to the digital audio-recordings of their years-long expedition to the Northern Khanty.

In Salym¹ dialect, which is considered eastern Khanty, in Nizjam dialect, halfway between northern and south-western dialects, in Jukonda (eastern) and Ob (northern) dialects of the Mansi language we found variable stress. For example, Salym: $pa\cdot \eta a$ 'vein', $santa\cdot$ 'to beat', $ko\theta ta\cdot$ 'to feel', $jo\cdot lda$ 'to go'; Nizjam: $a\cdot \eta ki$ 'mother', $a\eta k\epsilon\cdot m$ Lat 'mother', $i\cdot mi$ 'woman', $nud\chi i\cdot$ 'fir' (more examples in Hopmahckaß 2014); Jukonda: $po\cdot jtam$ 'I cook', $pel^j\alpha\cdot m$ 'I am afraid', $po\cdot nim$ 'I put', $poni\cdot na$ 'you put'.

We recorded the basic word list of non-borrowed Finno-Ugric vocabulary for each dialect using the data from two or more native speakers. Salym dialect is an exception in that the word-list was recorded from just one speaker, and it was not complete. At present, our group is processing the received data, and the beta-versions of etymological audio-dictionaries of these dialects can be accessed at http://lingvodoc.ispras.ru/.

At the same time we are studying archival data on the Khanty dialects where variable stress was attested, for example in Irtyš (southern) Khanty

According to previous researchers this dialect has become extinct, cf. (Nikolaeva 1999). We have found several dozens of people who have a good command of the language, but only one (female) person among them agreed to cooperate.

dialect documented by M. A. Castrén: $\acute{E}n\delta ep$ 'belt', $\acute{O}nget$ 'horn', <code-block> 'side', $Njalx\acute{a}$ 'fir', $Po\delta/gj\acute{a}r$ 'ash'.</code>

The first interesting results received after the individual stress systems had been described and compared were published in Норманская 2013; 2014. In Норманская 2013 we presented the results of the analysis of stress placement in Vasjugan dialect, based on archival data collected by Ljudmila Iosifovna Kalinina in the 1950s and1960s. It turned out that the rules of stress placement depend on part of speech. For verbs and pronouns stress is paradigmatic, and its placement depends on the type of affix. For nouns the stress is fixed either on the first or second syllable, see Table 1 for examples.

 $Table\ 1$ Vasjugan stress and genesis of vowels

a < Proto-Khanty *aa	$\mathcal{D}(\partial)$ < Proto-Khanty * i
a·mit² [а́мытъ] 'to put', aməs- (DEWOS 103)	<i>эra∙ng</i> [ъра́нг] 'in another', [ъра́нь] 'another', <i>эгэү</i> (DEWOS 167)
$ka \cdot ta \ [\kappa \acute{a} \tau a]$ 'at home', $[\kappa \acute{a} \tau a jo \gamma]$ 'in the house', kat (DEWOS 565)	təl'ə•z [τьλίτε] 'winter', [τьλίτεγ] 'winter', tələγ (DEWOS 1429)
o < Proto-Khanty *a	ъ (ă) < Proto-Khanty *į
l'o·pį [л́о́пы] 'coat', lo̯pį (DEWOS 873)	$k \ni s j \cdot [\kappa \flat c \iota \iota]$ 'on the man', $[\kappa \flat c \iota \iota]$ 'man', $k \breve{a} s j$ (DEWOS 562)
po·rojlajįn [по́ројлајын] 'to flew', [по́ръульнта] 'to fly', poryəl- (DEWOS 1211)	əla·kal, əla·kəl [ъла́кал, ъла́къл] 'lies', [ъли́нтауъм] 'went to bed', ăl- (DEWOS 66)
\ddot{a} < Proto-Khanty * $\ddot{a}\ddot{a}$	\check{o} < Proto-Khanty * u
ä·mpäm [а́мпäм] 'with the dog', [а́мпäмнä] 'on the dog', [а́мпъγ] 'dog', ämp (DEWOS 101)	tŏlŏ•γwəl [τọΛόγβъΛ] 'he says', [τοΛό]βъΛΜ] 'they say', [τοΛόγΛъΜ] 'I do not say', tŏl'əγ- (DEWOS 1436)
l'ä·ngləkən [л́а́нглъкън] 'squirrels', [л́а́нгки] 'squirrel's', läŋki (DEWOS 793)	ŏγa·ma [o̞γáτa] 'to flow', [o̞γáвъл] 'he flows', ŏγa- (DEWOS 29)
e < Proto-Khanty * \ddot{a}	$\ddot{\ddot{o}}$ < Proto-Khanty * \ddot{u}
we·rləm [вэ́рлъм] 'I work', [вэ́ртъ] 'work', wer- (DEWOS 1613)	jŏγį·n [jọγы́н] 'he', [jọγа́] 'him', [jθγы́] 'his', jŏ̃γ (DEWOS 735)
we-rəngə [вэ́рънгъ] 'little', [вэ́рънготът] 'children', werəŋ (DEWOS 1624)	τὄγο•t [το·γό·τ, το̞յώ, το̞γώ] 'fire', τὄγət (DEWOS 1420)

Fixation of the stress on a certain vowel, apparently, happened long ago when first syllable vowels still retained their Proto-Khanty quality in eastern dialects. If the first syllable vowel was high, then the stress became fixed on the second syllable, in other cases — on the first syllable. Thus, the Vasjugan stress system turns out to be unique in that nouns and verbs

 $[\]frac{1}{2}$ Here and below \cdot is used as accent mark. In this table [] mark the words in the notation of L. I. Kalinina.

represent two different types of stress: verb stress is paradigmatically variable, while noun stress is fixed and depends on the phonemic composition of word forms.

In the article Норманская 2014 it is shown that stress in non-derived words in modern Nizjam dialect and in the South Khanty data of M. A. Castrén coincide completely. In derived stems of the Nizjam dialect a categorization of ictus took place, and in the absence of non-derived forms in the dictionary it is impossible to determine the original place of stress. Thus, the paradigmatic stress systems represented by non-derived nouns and verbs should be considered archaic (at least Proto-West Khanty), since we see such systems both in Nizjam dialect and South Khanty dialects. For example,

Irtyš $E \cdot n\delta ep$ 'belt' — Nizjam $\partial \cdot nt\partial p$ 'belt'; Irtyš $O \cdot nget$ 'horn' — Nizjam $\partial \cdot \eta \partial t$ 'horn'; Irtyš $I \cdot ma$ 'woman' — Nizjam $i \cdot mi$ 'woman'; Irtyš $Ju \cdot rak$ 'side' — Nizjam $ji \cdot ra$ 'aside'; vs. Irtyš $Njalxa \cdot$ 'fir' — Nizjam $jud\chi i \cdot$ 'fir'; Irtyš $Po\delta lgjar$ 'rowan' — Nizjam $p\partial ea \cdot r(ju\chi)$ 'rowan'; Irtyš $Jeaga \cdot$ 'river' — Nizjam $Ju\chi a \cdot n$ 'river';

Irtyš Ja·ngam 'I go' (Pr1Sg) — Nizjam Jaksy·m 'I go' (Pr1Sg); Irtyš Ke·rkem 'I go' (Pr1Sg) — Nizjam Kersu·m 'I am falling' (Pr1Sg); Irtyš Uu·dem 'I am' (Pr1Sg) — Nizjam wytu·m 'I am' (Pr1Sg); vs. Irtyš Puse·m 'I am cleaning a dress' (Pr1Sg) — Nizjam puse· 'she is cleaning a dress' (Pr3Sg); Irtyš Niette·m 'I swallow' (Pr1Sg) — Nizjam neda· 'swallows' (Pr3Sg); Irtyš Jarā·δēm 'I forgot' (Pt1Sg) — Nizjam jure·masem 'I forgot' (Pt1Sg).

2. In the present article, which continues a cycle of articles dedicated to the description of variable stress in Ob-Ugric languages, we direct our attention to variable stress in Mansi dialects and show that it has non-trivial parallels in the South Khanty data of M. A. Castrén.

The vowel changes in Mansi verb paradigms have been analysed by W. Steinitz (1955: 331—336). In general, his book gives an accurate description of the vowel changes in the verb paradigms, however, an analysis of our field materials of Mansi dialects with the phonetic program Praat (http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/) reveals that it is not only the duration of vowels that changes, but also their intensity, which makes us, following B. Munkácsi, think that it is more to do with stress changes than with vowel changes.

As mentioned above, the Mansi paradigmatic stress was first noted back in the nineteenth century by B. Munkácsi (1894). The author shows the paradigms of the verb for the northern, eastern, western and southern Mansi dialects.

For the Tavda (southern) dialect, B. Munkácsi distinguishes two accentual paradigms: For part of the verbs with the same type of conjugation, the stress is fixed on the first syllable, and for the other part, on the second syllable (see Table 2).

Unfortunately, in B. Munkácsi's monograph there are no examples from other dialects featuring different places of stress in the same type of conjugation. For the active voice (Hungarian *cselekvő alak*) he gives only the forms of the verb *min-/mėn-* 'go', which in modern dialects is not stressed on the first syllable. And according to B. Munkácsi, in most forms of this verb, stress is indeed not placed on the first syllable. But other verbs with a stress fixed on the first syllable are given only to illustrate the passive voice (*szenvedő alak*). Therefore, when analyzing B. Munkácsi's monograph

(1894), we can suspect that the place of stress was associated with the type of conjugation and inflected form of the word, but modern materials show that this is not the case, and the hypothesis is probably connected with an unsuccessful selection of illustrative forms. Therefore, we assume that the different place of stress in B. Munkácsi's time did not depend on the type of conjugation, and we guess that in some verbs the stress was fixed on the root, while in others it had a mobile character, like two different accent paradigms.

 $Table \ 2$ Tavda forms of the verb in the present tense according to Munkácsi 1894 : 289 3

	I a. p.		II a. p.	
Pr1Sg	$\bar{o}sintlem$	'I yawn'	<i>ōrántem</i>	'I wait'
Pr2Sg	$\bar{o}sintlen$	'you yawn'	<i>ōránten</i>	'you wait '
Pr3Sg	$ar{o}sinta_{\circ}l$	'he yawns'	$ar{arphi}rcute{a}nt$	'he waits'
Pr1Pl	$ar{o}sinta_{\circ}leu$	'we yawn'	<i>ōránteu</i>	'we wait'
Pr2Pl	$ar{o}sinta_{\circ}len$	'you yawn'	<i>ōránten</i>	'you wait'
Pr3Pl	$ar{o}sintl\dot{e}t$	'they yawn'	<i>ōrántet</i>	'they wait'

In the Pelym (western) dialect, B. Munkácsi singled out the first accent paradigm with stress fixed on the root, and the second with an accent which in part of the forms is on the second syllable (in the present tense in the forms 1, 2, 3 Du, 1, 2 Pl), while in the others diphthongization of the ending (1, 2 Sg, 3 Pl) takes place. In addition, there is sometimes an incomprehensible facultative elongation of part of the endings in some verbs: $\ddot{a}l\bar{v}m/\ddot{a}lim$ 'I am going to', $\ddot{a}l\bar{v}n/\ddot{a}lin$ 'you are going to', $\ddot{a}lit\dot{e}/\ddot{a}lit$ 'he is going'. Given the disappearance of the speakers of the Pelym dialect, there is no longer any hope of establishing what prosodic difference might have stood behind this phenomenon.

 $Table \ 3$ Pelym verbal forms in the present tense (Munkácsi 1894 : 249–251)

	I a. p.		II a. p.	
Pr1Sg	joχtilėm	'I receive'	mineim	'I walk'
Pr2Sg	joχtilėn	'you receive'	minein	'you walk'
Pr3Sg	joχtilė	'he receives'	mini	'he walks'
Pr1Du	joχtiläm	'we two receive'	minímė	'we two walk'
Pr2Du	joχtilän	'you two receive'	minínė	'you two walk'
Pr3Du	joχtiän	'they two receive'	$min i \acute{\gamma} \dot{e}$	'they two walk'
Pr1Pl	joχtila¸u/joχtina¸u	'we receive'	miníwė	'we walk'
Pr2Pl	joχtilän/joχtinän	'you receive'	minínė	'you walk'
Pr3Pl	joχtiän	'they receive'	$mine_i \acute{\chi} t$	'they walk'

In the K o n d a (Jukonda, eastern) dialect, Munkácsi also distinguishes two accent paradigms: one with a word stress fixed on the root, and the second with a differently placed stress (see Table 4).

³ B. Munkácsi did not mark the place of stress when it fell on the first syllable.

 $Table \ 4$ Konda forms of the verb in the present tense according to Munkácsi 1894 : 217—218

	I a. p.		II a. p.	
Pr1Sg	$a_{\circ}iw\dot{e}m$	'I sleep'	mėna _s m/mėnγėm	'I walk'
Pr2Sg	$a_{\circ}iw\dot{e}n$	'you sleep'	mėnän/mėnγėn	'you walk'
Pr3Sg	$a_{\circ}iw\dot{e}$	'he sleeps'	$m\dot{e}ni$	'he walks'
Pr1Du	$a_{\circ}iw\ddot{a}m\dot{e}n$	'we two sleep'	mėnímėn	'we two walk'
Pr2Du	$a_{\circ}iw\ddot{a}n$	'you two sleep'	mėnínė	'you two walk'
Pr3Du	$a_{\circ}iw\dot{e}i$	'they two sleep'	mėnä	'they two walk'
Pr1Pl	$a_{\circ}iwa_{\circ}u$	'we sleep'	$m\dot{e}na_{\circ}u$	'we walk'
Pr2Pl	$a_{\circ}iw\ddot{a}n$	'you sleep'	mėnän/mėnínė	'you walk'
Pr3Pl	$a_{\circ}iwet$	'they sleep'	mėnät/mėnγėt	'they walk'

In northern dialects no variable stress was marked. Unfortunately, this valuable material given in B. Munkácsi's monograph (1894), cannot be called exhaustive. As mentioned above, only a few examples are used to illustrate the verbal paradigms for each of the dialects. More informative in this regard is the dictionary (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986). In it, for almost every South-Mansi verb, the place of stress is marked in the form Pr3Pl, according to which it is possible to judge whether the verb represents the first or second accent paradigm, the place of stress in which is indicated by B. Munkácsi (1894). Unfortunately, the dictionary does not contain data on the different accent in the eastern and western dialects, which was noted in the monograph (Munkácsi 1894). In the recently published dictionary (Kannisto 2013), in twosyllable words or bases, the stress in Tavda dialect always falls on the second syllable, both in verbs and in nouns. This fact is noted in L. Honti's monograph (1975: 15). As for the western, eastern and northern dialects, the stress was not marked in the dictionary made from the material of the texts of A. Kannisto (Kannisto, Liimola, Eiras 1982). However, when referring to the texts themselves (Kannisto, Liimola 1951), it can be seen that it is diverse in all Konda, Upper-Lozva texts and in some Tavda texts. Let us take as an example some of the forms of the verb min- 'go (using means of transportation)', encountered in the first texts of A. Kannisto. As was shown above, it was precisely this verb that was quoted by B. Munkácsi as an illustration of the verbs with stress fixed on the second syllable:

Konda dialect: $m \ni n \grave{a}m$ 'I go', $m \ni n \grave{a}n$ 'you go', $m \ni n \grave{i}$ 'he goes', $m \ni n \grave{a}$ 'the two go' (Kannisto, Liimola 1951 : 36);

U p p e r - L o z v a d i a l e c t: $min\grave{e}\gamma m$ 'I go', $mi\cdot ne\gamma \dot{a}n$ 'you go', $mini\cdot m^e\grave{e}n$ 'we go', $min\grave{e}\gamma$ 'they go', $m\grave{i}n\dot{a}m$ 'I went', $m\grave{i}n\dot{a}s$ 'he went', $min\grave{a}zt$ 'they went', $m\grave{i}ni$ 'go', $m\grave{i}n^e\varepsilon n$ 'you (many) go' (Kannisto, Liimola 1951 : 72);

Ta v da dialect: $min\ddot{a}s$ 'he goes' (Kannisto, Liimola 1951 : 157); in this text there are words with stress on the first syllable that are not present in Kannisto's dictionary (2013), see $mi \cdot \acute{s}ker\chi$ 'a boy' and others.

It is interesting that the stress on the second vowel in the verb *min*-'go' is also present in the Sosva dialect (Kannisto, Liimola 1951 : 212): *minèγm* 'I go', *minàzt* 'they went/walked'. In other monographs devoted to the Mansi language it has not been noted that in the Sosva dialect the stress may not be on the first syllable. As our field studies in the village of Khulimsunt showed, even at the present time there are Sosva dialects with a variable

stress placement, although in literary Sosva stress is fixed on the root of the word. It is interesting to note that in different texts of the same dialect in Kannisto, Liimola 1955 the stress may differ. For example, in some texts of the Sosva dialect (Kannisto, Liimola 1951: 133-146) we could not find examples with the stress not on the first syllable, yet in the other text (Kannisto, Liimola 1951:212-250) there are such examples. In the Tayda texts, from the point of view of the stress point, several different dialects are also represented; for example, in some texts (Kannisto, Liimola 1951: 127-130) the stress is, indeed, never on the first syllable, but in some texts (Kannisto, Liimola 1951: 157-164) we find a different stress placement. It seems that the editors of Kannisto's dictionary (2013) were wrong in omitting stress marks. This dictionary (Kannisto 2013) presents very valuable, for many dialects already extinct, unique information about the place of stress in the paradigm of lexemes in different Mansi dialects. Unfortunately, subsequent researchers, in particular W. Steinitz, did not take it into account in their studies. In his monograph the question of stress is only touched upon very briefly, in connection with the alternation of vowels in Mansi dialects (Steinitz 1955 : 331-336). As Steinitz points out, he was personally acquainted only with the material of Sosva Mansi, and in this dialect the accent usually falls on the first syllable, but in a number of cases of indirect forms it can also be on the second syllable. He was not familiar with the materials of other dialects and trusted the data of A. Kannisto, who, as W. Steinitz points out, did not mark the stress in the eastern and western dialects, and in the southern dialects he noted the accent always on the second syllable. However, as shown above, this does not correspond to the data of Kannisto, Liimola 1951, which apparently correlated quite accurately with the data of the Munkácsi's grammar (1894) as well as with modern field data obtained by us from the native speakers of the Jukonda, Ob and Sosva dialects of Mansi.

3. As far as we know, variable stress was not previously noted for northern Mansi dialects. In the present article we will take a look at the verbal stress system of the Ob dialect of Mansi and its external correlations.

In 2016, according to the results of an expedition to Khulimsunt of the Berezovo district of the Khanty-Mansi administrative region, variable stress was found in the verbal paradigms of the Sosva dialect of the Mansi language. A dictionary of this dialect is available at http://lingvodoc.ispras. ru/dictionary/660/7/perspective/660/8/view. Below we give the verbal accent paradigms in two northern (Ob and Sosva) and one eastern (Jukonda) Mansi dialect, revealed as a result of the analysis in the phonetic program Praat (http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/) of the field materials on the northern and eastern Mansi dialects. In all Mansi dialects under consideration, three accentual paradigms were revealed: in the first, the stress is fixed on the first syllable, in the second it is not on the first syllable, but in the third one — in part of the forms on the first syllable, and in the others on the second syllable. A set of forms with a stress on the first vs. on the second syllable in dialects is different, so below we give examples of accentual paradigms for each dialect. In the Jukonda dialect, in the past tense, the stress is fixed on the root regardless of the accent paradigm. In the present tense of the indicative mood and in the imperative mood, the accent in these paradigms looks like this (see Table 5).

Accent paradigm in the present tense in the Jukonda verb according to modern field data

	I a. p. stress is to on the ro	fixed	II a. p. stress is f on the en except Im	ixed ding	III a. _J variable s	
Pr1Sg	pɔ• jt a m	'I cook'	pel' α • m	'I fear'	$po\cdot nim$	'I put down'
Pr2Sg	pə•jtan	'you cook'	pel' α • n	'you fear'	$po\cdot nin$	'you put down'
Pr3Sg	pə•jti	'she cooks'	peli	'he fears'	$po\cdot ni$	'he puts down'
Pr1Pl	pə•jtiva	'we cook'	peľi•va	'we fear'	poni• vu	'we put down'
Pr2Pl	pə•jtina	'you cook'	peľi•na	'you fear'	poni•na	'you put down'
Pr3Pl	pə•jtæt	'they cook'	$pel'x\cdot t$	'they fear	$pona \cdot t$	'they put down'
Imp2Sg	pɔ•jtiten	'cook!'	pe∙l'en pel'æ•n	\ .	$pona\cdot n$	'put down!'
Imp2Pl	pə•jtinen	'cook!'	pe•l'enen	'fear!'	po•ninen	'put down!'

In the O b d i a l e c t in the present tense forms of the indicative and imperative moods, the stress schemes look like this:

 $Table\ 6$ Stress in the present tense in the Middle Ob verb by modern field data

Stress	I a. p.	II a. p.	III a. p.
	stress is fixed on the root	stress is fixed on the ending except Imp2Sg	variable stress
Pr1Sg	<i>to∙vtegu</i> 'I chew'	<i>mine•gun</i> 'I go away'	<i>jo∙ḿegu</i> 'I go'
Pr2Sg	<i>to∙vtegen</i> 'you chew'	mine•gen 'you go away'	<i>jo∙ḿegen</i> 'you go'
Pr3Sg	<i>to•vte</i> 'he chews'	minį∙ 'she goes away'	<i>jo∙mi</i> 'he goes'
Pr1Du	<i>to∙vtjḿen</i> 'we two chew'	<i>minijín∋•n</i> 'we two go away'	<i>jo∙mímen</i> 'we two go'
Pr2Du	<i>to∙vtian</i> 'you two chew'	<i>minija∙n</i> 'you two go away'	<i>jomija∙n</i> 'you two go'
Pr3Du	<i>to∙vteh</i> 'they two chew'	mine·h 'they two go away'	<i>joḿe∙h</i> 'they two go'
Pr1Pl	<i>to•vteve</i> 'we chew'	<i>mine∙v</i> 'we go away'	<i>joḿe∙v</i> 'we go'
Pr2Pl	<i>to∙vtian</i> 'you chew'	<i>minija∙n</i> 'you go away'	<i>jomija∙n</i> 'you go'
Pr3Pl	to·vteget 'they chew'	<i>mine•gət</i> 'they go away'	<i>joḿe∙gət</i> 'they go'
Imp2Sg ⁴ Imp2Pl	to·vten 'chew!'	minen 'go away!' minen 'go away!'	jome∙n 'go!'

The other stress scheme in III a. p. in athematic verbs looks somewhat different. But in all cases, the form of 3Sg has a stress on the root, and the form 3Pl has a stress on the ending. This is why the two were chosen as diagnostic forms. In the past tenses of the words of I and III a. p. the stress

⁴ Unfortunately, when questioning dialect speakers not all necessary verbal forms were asked, so some cells remain unfilled.

is always fixed on the first syllable, whereas in the words of II a. p. the accent is variable:

Table 7

Stress in the past tense of the verbs of II a. p. in the Middle-Ob dialect by modern field data

	II a. p.	
Pr1Sg	$mina$ $\cdot sum$	'I went away'
Pr2Sg	mina• sem	'you went away'
Pr3Sg	mines	'she went away'
Pr1Du	$mi\cdot nesm in n$	'we two went away'
Pr2Du	minasan	'you two went away'
Pr3Du	minase h	'they two went away'
Pr1Pl	mi• $nasuv$	'we went away'
Pr2Pl	minasan	'you went away'
Pr3Pl	$mina$ • s ∂t	'they went away'

In the Sosva dialect of the Mansi language (in the village of Khulimsunt) three accent paradigms are also represented, which in the present tense look like this:

 $Table \ 8$ Stress in the present tense of the Sosva verb according to modern field data

	I a. p	o. n the root	II a. p. stress on t		III a. p stress on differ	ent places
Pr1Sg	te • $\gamma u m$	'I eat'	$xuje \cdot \gamma ightarrow \eta$	'I lie'	$xile \cdot \gamma ota m$	'I dig'
Pr2Sg	te • $\gamma \partial n$	'you eat'	$xuje\cdot \gamma ota n$	'you lie'	xile•jn/xil-n	'you dig'
Pr3Sg	tej	'he eats'	xuji•	'he lies'	xi· li	'he digs'
Pr1Pl	$te\cdot juw$	'we eat'	$xuje \cdot w$	'we lie'		
Pr2Pl	$te\cdot j\gamma \partial n$	'you eat'				
Pr3Pl	tejt	'they eat'	$xuje\cdot jt$	'they lie'	$xile\cdot jt$	'they dig'
Imp2Sg	tájen	'eat!'	$xuje \cdot n$	'lie!'	xi· li	'dig!'
Imp2Pl	tájen	'eat!'	$xuje \cdot n$	'lie!'	xi· $lian$	'dig!'

Unfortunately no forms of the past tense were collected.

It is not completely clear what is involved in the difference in the number of accent paradigms in the materials of B. Munkácsi and our field data. Of particular interest is the accentuation of the verb min- 'to go away', which is given in the monograph by B. Munkácsi (1894) for all dialects. In the western and eastern dialects, according to his data, the word has a variable stress, while in the northern dialects the stress is fixed on the first syllable. According to our field records, in all forms of this verb the stress is fixed, but not on the first syllable. As an illustration, we give the diagnostic forms that are important for establishing an accent paradigm: Jukonda εl mini· 'he leaves', εl $min\varepsilon$ ·st 'they go' — Ob εl $m\varepsilon$ ni· 'he is leaving', $min\varepsilon$ ·vit 'they are leaving' — Sosva $min\varepsilon$ ·vot 'I am going', $min\varepsilon$ ·n 'go!'. We assume that, probably, the fact that only two accentual paradigms are presented in B. Munkácsi's materials is associated with a small number of illustrative examples, since the data of external comparison, as will be shown in the Conclusion,

confirm the existence of paradigms with several types of stress in Mansi — 1st type: fixed on root, 2nd type: not fixed on root, 3rd type: variable stress, can be either on the first or on the second syllable. However, it cannot be ruled out that earlier in II a. p., in part of the dialects (perhaps in the western and eastern ones), the stress was not fixed on the first syllable, but was differentiated, but its place changing in the paradigm differed from that observed in III a. p.

4. Based on the existing materials, we have attempted to reconstruct the Proto-Mansi stress system. Below is information on the stress paradigms of verbs for which, in at least one dialect according to our field records, it is possible to define an accent paradigm and which have a Finno-Ugric etymology by UEW. Such a restriction is due to the fact that in this paper we set the task of reconstructing first the primary (Proto-Uralic) system of stress and describing its development in the daughter Uralic languages. More detailed information on the stress of verbs in separate dialects with labeled spectrograms and words that do not have an established etymology but are represented in several Mansi dialects can be found in a couple of articles (Норманская 2015a; 2015b) and in online dictionaries at lingvodoc.ispras.ru.

4.1. Stress fixed on the root (I a. p.):⁵

Jukonda nɔχ ka·lkæn 'they get up' — Ob ka·ləvit 'they get up' — Sosva kon kwa·leγət 'they get out' — Tavda kälä·nt, kelänt⁶ (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 228) < PU *kälä ~ *kalä 'to wade' (UEW 133);

Jukonda \acute{n} æ·lintnt 'they lick' — Sosva \acute{n} o·lant — Tavda \acute{n} ålånt 'they lick' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 366) — Irtyš South Khanty Nađ \acute{e} m 'I lick' (Castrén, manuscript) < PU * \acute{n} ola 'lick' (UEW 321);

Jukonda $\chi \partial ntl/\omega t$ 'they listen' — Ob $ho \cdot lavet$ 'they hear' — Sosva $xu \cdot l$ - $jan\partial l$ — Tavda $kh\mathring{a}l\mathring{a} \cdot nt$ 'they hear', $kh\mathring{a}lkh\mathring{a}t\mathring{a}l$ 'to be heart' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : $100)^7$ — Irtyš South Khanty $Xu\delta\acute{e}m$ 'I listen' (Castrén, manuscript) < PU *kule 'to hear' (UEW 197);

Jukonda *pɔ·jtæt* 'they cook — Ob *pɔ·jtæt* 'they cook' — Sosva *pa·jtejm* 'I cook' < PU **peje* 'to cook' (UEW 368);

Jukonda *to·wtijan* 'they chew' — Ob *to·vteget* 'they chew' — Tavda *tåut*-'chew' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 669) < PU **soske* 'chew' (UEW 448);

Jukonda $w \cdot ndlat$ 'they sit' — Ob $u \cdot nli$ 'he sits', $unle \cdot ht$ 'they sit' — Sosva $u \cdot ntejt$ 'they sit down' — Tavda uttant 'they sit' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 697) — Nizjam $o \cdot m \check{a} st \check{t}$ 'to sit' < PU *amV- 'to sit' (UEW 8);

Jukonda $\chi o \cdot nsi$ 'he writes', $\chi o \cdot nsat$ 'they write — Ob $ha \cdot n \int i$ 'he writes', $ha \cdot n \int eht$ 'they write' — Tavda $khan \check{s}ant$ 'they write' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 76) < PU * $kon \check{c}$ V (* $kan \check{c}$ 3) ~ * $ko \check{c}$ V (* $ka \check{c}$ V) 'to make colorful' (UEW 176);

Jukonda $\chi a \cdot sat$ 'they can' — Ob $ha \cdot sejt$ 'they can' < PU * $ka\check{c}$ V (* $ko\check{c}$ V) 'to understand, know, be able' (UEW 114);

Jukonda *pi·l'æt* 'they stick into' < PU **pel*V 'to stick into' (UEW 371);

 $[\]overline{}^5$ For illustration of I a. p. etc., we present the third person plural of the present tense, which in II and III a. p. etc. is stressed at the end in all dialects.

⁶ In this form, doublets with an emphasis on the root and on the second syllable are noted (see Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 228). Here and below, we do not give all the Tavda forms presented in Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986, but only those that are important for determining the place of stress.

⁷ In this case in the Tavda dialect of Mansi a not-regular retroflex of the stress is presented: in the form Pr3Pl it is on the second syllable. However, in other derivations it is presented on the first syllable, therefore a mistake in the record is possible.

Jukonda (*jol*) *pa•tæt* 'they will fall down' − Tavda *pätänt* 'they will fall down' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 422) < PU **pitä* 'to hold' (UEW 386);

Jukonda *pe·nten* 'they will close' — Tavda *pänt-*, *pent-* 'to fall down' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 411) < PU **pentV* 'to close' (UEW 371);

Jukonda $\chi \partial \cdot j \acute{s} \alpha n$ 'they meet' — Tavda $\chi \bar{o}j$ -, $\chi \mathring{a}j$ - 'to meet' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 95) < PU *kajV 'to meet' (UEW 118);

Jukonda *ńω·ltijæn* 'they swallow` — Tavda *ńelej*-, *ńėlei*- 'to meet' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 352) < PU **ńele* (**ńēle*) 'to swallow' (UEW 316); Jukonda *ńi·livu* 'they clean' < PU **ńsl*V (UEW 329);

Ob *ho·ntin* 'you will find' — Sosva *xo·nteγət* 'they will find' — Tavda *khånt-*, *khant-* 'to find' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 104) < PU **kunta* 'to catch, find' (UEW 207);

Sosva *o·lew* 'we live' — Tavda *ålånt*, *alant*, *älänt* 'they live' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 382) < PU **wole* 'to be, become';

Jukonda *te·uli* 'he flies' — Ob *ti·lamleget* 'they fly' — Sosva *ti·lamlew* 'we fly' — Tavda *täulänt* 'they fly' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 645) < PU **šilk*V (**šülk*V) (UEW 500);

Sosva *a•jtew* 'we believe' < PU **esk*V- 'to believe' (UEW 86);

4.1.1. Exception (stress on the second syllable in Tavda dialect):

Jukonda *tu·ajæn* 'they eat' — Ob *te·gov* 'we eat' — Sosva *tejt* 'they eat', *te·jən* 'you-many eat' — Tavda *täjä·nt* 'they eat' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 636) < PU *sewe (*seye) 'to eat' (UEW 440).

4.2. The stress is fixed, but not on the first syllable (II a. p.):⁸

Jukonda εl $mini\cdot$ 'he goes away', εl $min\varepsilon\cdot st$ 'they go away' — Ob εl $m\varepsilon ni\cdot$ 'he goes away', $mine\cdot vit$ 'they go away' — Sosva $mine\cdot \gamma \partial m$ 'I go', $mine\cdot n$ 'go!' — Tavda $min\ddot{a}\cdot nt$ 'they go away' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 307) < *mene 'to go' (UEW 272);

Jukonda $p \ni si$ 'he blows', $p \ni sij x \cdot t$ 'they blow' — Tavda $p \circ \check{s}e \cdot m$ 'they blow' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 465) < PU * $p u \check{s} V$ 'to blow' (UEW 409);

Jukonda. $\chi \circ ji$ 'he lies', $\chi \circ ja \cdot t$ 'they lie' — Sosva xuji 'he lies', $xuje \cdot jt$ 'they lie' — Tavda khuj- 'to lie' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 116) < PU *kujV 'to lie' (UEW 197);

Sosva *towi*· 'he paddles', *towe•yət* 'they paddle' — Tavda *tuwå•nt* 'they paddle' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 671) < PU *suye 'to paddle' (UEW 449);

Jukonda *peli•* 'he fears', *peľæ•t* 'they fear' — Ob *pili•* 'he fears', *pile•vət* 'they fear' — Sosva *pili•* 'he fears', *pile•jt* 'they fear' — Tavda *pilä•nt*, *piľä•nt* 'they fear' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 440) < PU **pele* 'to fear' (UEW 370);

Ob *kinsi•* 'he searches', *kinse•ht* 'they search' — Sosva *kinsi•* 'he searches', *kinse•γət* 'they search' — Tavda *kėnšä•nt* 'they search' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 213) < PU **kenč*V (~ **keč*V) 'to search' (UEW 145);

Ob $\chi u'lti$ · 'he stays', $\chi u'lte\cdot it$ 'they stay' — Tavda khult- 'to lie' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 121) < PU * $ka\delta'a$ 'to stay' (UEW 115).

4.2.1. Exception (stress on the first syllable in Tavda dialect):

Ob kosχa·tį 'he scratches', kosχa·teit 'they scratch' — Tavda kunšänt 'they scratch' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 239) < PU *kinče (*künče) (~ ? *kiče (*küče)) 'nail, clow' (UEW 157).

⁸ For the illustration of II a. p. we present the forms of the third person plural and singular present tense which distinguish II a. p. from I and III a. p. in all dialects. ⁹ In this case, due to the absence in the field records of the forms of the third person plural and singular present tense, we present the form of the second person of the plural imperative, which is diagnostic for distinguishing between II and III a. p.

4.3. The stress is variable in South-Konda, (eastern) Ob, and Sosva (northern) dialects (III a. p.), but in Tavda it is fixed on the first syllable:¹⁰

Jukonda $tu\cdot nei$ 'he stands', $tunea\cdot t$ 'they stand' — Tavda $t\phi n\acute{s}$ - 'to stand' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 680) < PU * $san\acute{c}a$ 'to stand' (UEW 431);

Jukonda *po∙sjen* 'he will wash', *posja∙n* 'they will wash' — Tavda *pǫs-* 'to wash' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 464) < PU **puś*V 'to wear out' (UEW 409);

Jukonda *po·rite* 'he bites', *porija·t* 'they bite' — Ob *po·rhat* 'he bites', *porhate·γət* 'they bite' — Tavda *pur-*, *po̞r-* 'to bite' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 483) < PU **pure* 'to bite' (UEW 405);

Ob *jakte·it* 'they cut' — Sosv. *ja·kti* 'he cuts', *jakte·γ∂t* 'they cut' — Tavda *jėktänt* 'they cut' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 143) < PU *äktV- 'to beat, hack, cut' (UEW 23);

Sosva $sa \cdot l' \gamma i$ 'he spits', $sa l' \gamma e \cdot \gamma \partial t$ 'they spit' — Tavda $su l'k \ddot{a}nt$ 'they spit' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 520) < PU *si l'ke (* $s\ddot{u}l'ke$) 'to spit' (UEW 479);

Sosva *li•lteγəm* 'I breathe', *lilte•w* 'we breathe' — Tavda *leltänt* 'to breathe' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 260) < PU **lewl*V 'soul, spirit' (UEW 247).

4.4. The stress is variable in the Ob and Sosva (northern) dialects (III a. p.), in Jukonda it is fixed on the first syllable (I a. p.), in Tavda it is fixed on the second syllable:

Jukonda *pp·urəli* 'he jumps', *pp·urəlæn* 'they jump'¹¹ — Ob *pu·rge* 'he jumps', *purge·iht* 'they jump' — Sosva *po·rγi* 'he jumps', *porγe·γət* 'they jump' — Tavda *purχå·nt*, *porχånt* 'they jump' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 457) < PU **psr*V 'to run, jump' (UEW 414);
Jukonda *a·jvχt* 'they drink' — Ob *a·ji* 'he drinks'; *aje·γt* 'they drink' —

Jukonda $a \cdot j n \chi t$ 'they drink' — Ob $a \cdot j i$ 'he drinks'; $a j e \cdot \gamma t$ 'they drink' — Sosva $a \cdot j i$ 'he drinks'; $a j e \cdot \gamma t$ 'they drink', — Tavda $\bar{a} j \bar{a} \cdot n t$ 'they drink' < PU * $j u \gamma e - (*j u k e -)$ (UEW 103);

Jukonda $ji \cdot aki$ 'he dances', $ji \cdot akvt$ 'they dance' — Ob $ji \cdot ki$ 'he dances', $jeke \cdot ht$ 'they dance' — Sosva $jeke \cdot \gamma \cdot pm$ 'I dance' 12 < PU *jekkV 'to dance' (UEW 96);

Jukonda. $pa\cdot uli$ 'he bathes', $pa\cdot ulxt$ 'they bathe' — Ob $pule\cdot ht$ 'they bathe' — Tavda $poul\bar{a}\cdot l$ - 'they bathe' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 474) < PU *pilkV (*pilkV) 'to bathe' (UEW 380);

Jukonda $namma \cdot wat$ 'they remove feathers' — Tavda $namma \cdot mat$ 'they remove feathers' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 359) < PU *niwa (* $ni\eta a$) 'to remove hair' (UEW 306);

Ob $jo \cdot mi$ 'he goes', $jo\acute{m}e \cdot g \ni t$ 'they go' — Tavda $jam\mathring{a} \cdot nt$ 'they go' < PU *jomV- 'to go' (UEW 100);

Sosv. $a \cdot li$ 'he kills', $ale \cdot jt$ 'they kill' — Tavda $\bar{a}l\bar{a} \cdot nt$ 'they kill' (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986 : 33) < PU * $we\delta V$ 'to kill' (UEW 566).

5. Summing up the analysis, we can say that the material of the Ob dialect collected by I. A. Stenin in 2013 in two villages of Oktjabŕskoje district of Khanty-Mansi administrative region — the villages of Nižnie Narynkary and Peregrjobnoje — and of the Sosva dialect of the village Khulimsunt, collected in the field by R. I. Idrisov in 2016, confirms the hypothesis of the

¹⁰ For the illustration of III a. p. we present the forms of the third person plural and singular, which when combined, distinguish III a. p. from the others in all dialects. ¹¹ The placement of the accent on the root in this word is not quite clear; perhaps this is due to the duration of the diphthong of the first syllable.

¹² Unfortunately, in our field records for a number of verbs the form of the third person plural of the present tense is not recorded, therefore we present the form of the first person singular, which is also diagnostic for the detection of I a. p.

articles Норманская 2015a and Норманская 2015b about the reconstruction of four accent paradigms for verbs in the Proto-Mansi language.

Reconstruction of Proto-Mansi accent paradigms and their development in dialects

Reconstruction of Proto-Mansi accent paradigms and their development in dialects					
Proto-Mansi	Sosva dialect	Ob dialect	Jukonda dialect	Tavda dialect	
I a. p.	I a. p.	I a. p.	I a. p.	I a. p.	
II a. p.	II a. p.	II a. p.	II a. p.	II a. p.	
III a. p.	III a. p.	III a. p.	III a. p.	I a. p.	
IV a. p.	I a. p.	III a. p.	III a. p.	II a. p.	

Address

Julia Normaskaja

Institute of Linguistic RAS (Moscow)

Ivannikov Institute for System Programming RAS (Moscow)

Tomsk State University (Tomsk)

E-mail: julianor@mail.ru

Abbreviations

 $\mathbf{Du} - \mathbf{Dual}$, $\mathbf{Imp} - \mathbf{imperative}$ mood, $\mathbf{Pl} - \mathbf{Plural}$, $\mathbf{Pr} - \mathbf{Present}$ tense; $\mathbf{Pt} - \mathbf{Past}$ tense, $\mathbf{Sg} - \mathbf{Singular}$.

LITERATURE

- Honti, L. 1975, System der paradigmatischen Suffixmorpheme des wogulischen Dialektes an der Tawda, Budapest—Paris.
- 1982, Geschichte des obugrischen Vokalismus der ersten Silbe, Budapest. K an nisto, A. 2013, Wogulisches Wörterbuch. Gesammelt und geordnet von Artturi Kannisto. Bearbeitet von Vuokko Eiras. Herausgegeben von Arto Moisio, Helsinki (LSFU XXXV. Kotimaisten kielten tutkimuskeskuksen julkaisuja 173).
- K annisto, A., Liimola, M. 1951, Wogulische Volksdichtung. Gesammelt und übersetzt von Artturi Kannisto. Bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Matti Liimola. I. Band. Texte mythischen Inhalts, Helsinki (MSFOu 101).
- 1955, Wogulische Volksdichtung. Gesammelt und übersetzt von Artturi Kannisto. Bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Matti Liimola. II. Band. Kriegs- und Heldensagen, Helsinki (MSFOu 109).
- Kannisto, A., Liimola, M., Eiras, V. 1982, Wogulische Volksdichtung. Gesammelt und übersetzt von Artturi Kannisto. VII. Band. Wörterverzeichnis zu den Bänden I—VI. Bearbeitet von Matti Liimola und Vuokko Eiras. Herausgegeben von Vuokko Eiras, Helsinki (MSFOu 180).
- M u n k á c s i B. 1894, A Vogul nyelvjárások szóragozásukban ismertetve, Budapest. M u n k á c s i, B., K á l m á n, B. 1986, Wogulisches Wörterbuch. Gesammelt von Bernát Munkácsi, geordnet, bearbeitet und herausgegeben von Béla Kálmán, Budapest.
- N i k o l a e v a, I. 1999, Ostyak Texts in the Obdorsk Dialect, Wiesbaden (Studia Uralica 9).
- Steinitz, W. 1955, Geschichte des wogulischen Vokalismus, Berlin
- Дыбо В. А. 2000, Морфологизованные парадигматические акцентные системы. Типология и генезис. Т. 1, Москва.
- Норманская Ю. В. 2013, Разгадка принципов постановки ударения в васюганском диалекте хантыйского языка. Томский журнал лингвистических и антропологических исследований 2 (2), 56—68.
- 2014, Система ударения в низямском диалекте хантыйского языка и ее параллели в южнохантыйском. — LU L, 283—302.

- —— 2015а, Система разноместного ударения в мансийском глаголе и ее внешние соответствия. Часть 1. Обской диалект мансийского языка. Урало-алтайские исследования 2 (17), 51—67.
- 2015b, Система разноместного ударения в мансийском глаголе и ее внешние соответствия. Часть 2. Юкондинский диалект мансийского языка.
 Урало-алтайские исследования 3 (18), 88—103.
- Ромбандеева Е. И. 1973, Мансийский (вогульский) язык. Фонетика, морфология, словообразование, Москва.
- Сай нахова А.И. 2012, Диалектология мансийского языка. Учебное пособие, Ханты-Мансийск.
- Словцов К. 1905, Опыт русско-вогульского словаря и перевод на вогульский язык, Тобольск.

ЮЛИЯ НОРМАНСКАЯ (Москва)

СИСТЕМА РАЗНОМЕСТНОГО ПАРАДИГМАТИЧЕСКОГО УДАРЕНИЯ В СЕВЕРНЫХ ДИАЛЕКТАХ МАНСИЙСКОГО ЯЗЫКА

В статье описаны правила постановки разноместного парадигматического ударения в северных диалектах мансийского языка — обском и сосьвинском. Материалы по обскому диалекту собраны И. А. Стениным в 2013 году в с. Нижние Нарынкары и д. Перегрёбное, по сосьвинскому диалекту Р. И. Идрисовым в 2015 году в с. Хулимсунт. Автором статьи проведено сравнение правил постановки ударения в этих материалах с аудиословарем юкондинского диалекта мансийского языка на платформе lingvodoc.ispras.ru с помощью фонетической программы Праат (http://www.fon.hum.uva.nl/praat/). Затем полученные результаты сравнивались с данными тавдинского южного мансийского диалекта по словарю Б. Мункачи (Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986). В результате установлено, что в прамансийском языке было три акцентных парадигмы: у слов первого типа ударение фиксировалось на корне, у слов второго типа — на окончании/суффиксе, у слов третьего типа оно было разноместным: в одних формах падало на первый слог, в других — на второй. Таким образом, материалы по северным мансийским диалектам подтверждают гипотезы о прамансийском разноместном ударении, высказанные в Норманская 2015а и Норманская 2015b.

JULIA NORMANSKAJA (Moskva)

PÕHJAMANSI MURRETE LIIKUV RÕHK

Artikli analüüsitakse põhjamansi Obi ja Sosva murde rõhureegleid. Autor võrdleb I. Stenini 2013. a. kogutud Obi ja R. Idrisovi 2015. a. kogutud Sosva murdekeele rõhusüsteemi mansi Jukonda murde rõhusüsteemiga, toetudes selle murde internetisõnaraamatule (vt. lingvodoc.ispras.ru). Seejärel võrreldakse saadud tulemusi lõunamansi Tavda murde rõhusüsteemiga B. Munkácsi kogutud ainestiku põhjal (vt. Munkácsi, Kálmán 1986). Selgub, et mansi algkeeles oli kolm rõhutüüpi. I tüübi sõnadel oli rõhk fikseeritud tüvel, II tüübi sõnadel muutelõpul või liitel, aga III tüübi sõnadel oli rõhk liikuv: mõnes vormis 1. silbil, mõnes 2. silbil. Niisiis toetab põhjamansi murdeainestik autori hüpteesi (vt. Норманская 2015а; Норманская 2015b), et mansi algkeeles oli rõhk liikuv.